

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 9, 1997

LR 32

generated a little bit of criticism, we're not proposing that for the primary ballot. Secondly, they have to have 40 votes in here. So if they don't have the 40 votes in here, then...and that dictates a degree of noncontroversy, I think. If we only get 39 people, then our rules say that the resolution then is voted on a second time with the provision that it will be at the general election. So that's kind of a check that we don't take something that is major controversial and stick it on the primary election ballot where we think there will be a lower voter turnout and maybe we can slip something through. So it's a good question, but I think we've felt we've dealt with that concern.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I appreciate your response, because I only brought it up simply because there was an indication by some individuals that this could, in fact, be a matter of, as I indicated, slipping something through. And you've pretty well explained, and it does have to be, I understand that. But I guess I just wanted it for the record that, in fact, there wasn't a purpose, there wasn't a set purpose to try to do that. And, Senator Kristensen, you had a...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Kristensen, would you respond to a question, please?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, I would. Thank you. Senator Vrtiska, the other thing I'd like to add to that is the largest criticism I hear about the primary is that there's nothing for me to vote on. And they come in and say, look, I'd go vote in the primary, but it doesn't do any good, my party doesn't have anybody, the other party is uncontested. And generally the things that bring people to the ballot are constitutional amendments in the primary. So it's a double-edged sword. My thinking is that you probably generate a little more interest for the primary if there's something there for them to vote on. And this would add that for them. So I...just to supplement what Senator Withem said.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I appreciate both your responses. I just wanted the record to reflect that in fact this body is not in the mode of trying to do this. And I thought, simply because the question had been brought to me, it was good to at least air